ID. Date of interview date 09/02/20

ID. Time interview started

start 21:28:51

ID.end Completion date of interview

Date 09/02/20

ID.end Time interview ended

21:52:50

ID. Duration of interview

time _{23.98}

new case

ICO consultation on the draft right of access guidance

Q1	Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?
	○ No
	O Unsure / don't know
	If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

○ Yes
No No
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?
Around the security of information provided to an individual, it states that you should not expect an

Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?

Q2

Around the security of information provided to an individual, it states that you should not expect an individual to download software in order to access the information sent, however in my experience in order to encrypt data sent to an individual, in some circumstances, they may not have the necessary software in order to unencrypt the contents, so need to download additional software. Without this some companies struggle knowing how to send the data securely, without an expectation for customers to download the software to unexcrypt. This could lead to companies sending information in an insecure manner to allow relevant access by the recipient. Guidance on sending securely and some examples of the sorts of provisions companies should use would therefore help companies understand how to share securely and leave no ambiguity. I have also seen instances whereby context of data is enough for an individual to work who that data originated from (particularly if an opinion on that individual). Therefore although that opinion belongs to the person who submitted the SAR, the outcome is that the individual is able to identify who the opinion originally came from based on the context which could lead to risk to that 3rd partys well being. I appreciate there are exemptions regarding functions designed to protect the public, but guidance on risks to 3rd parties (particularly in the above circumstances) would be good.

Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?
○ Yes
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.
In the most part, but an example for extra clarity around a SAR being sent to a Processor would be good (page 5).

Q3

We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive

requests below (if applicable).

Main thing that I see are ex-employees who are fired or leave an organisation on bad terms. The individual then puts in a SAR and although they have not explicity stated that they intend to cause disruption, it is quite clear in some cases that is the reason for the SAR. Or that they are just fishing for anything that could lead to a compensation claim. An example in relation to this scenario would be good, as to whether or not a company could make their own determinations that the request is manifestely unfounded, or if this is never ok as it is not explicitly stated. This occurs a lot.

Q5 On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?

3 –
1 - Not at all 2 – Slightly Moderately 4 – Very 5 – Extremely useful useful useful useful

Q6	Why have you given this score?			
	The guidance is very useful, but is such a broad topic, that it is extremely difficult to cover everything, as a lot of it is case by case scenarios.			
Q7	To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?			
	Strongly Neither agree Strongly disagree Disagree nor disagree Agree agree			

Q8	Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.
Q 9	Are you answering as:
	An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)An individual acting in a professional capacity
	On behalf of an organisation Other
	Please specify the name of your organisation: Beacon Consultant Services
	What sector are you from: Business Consultancy

Q8

Q10	How did you find out about this survey?
	O ICO Twitter account
	O ICO Facebook account
	O ICO LinkedIn account
	O ICO website
	O ICO newsletter
	O ICO staff member
	Colleague
	Personal/work Twitter account
	Personal/work Facebook account
	Personal/work LinkedIn account
	Other
	If other please specify: